

Investigating Early Career Teachers' Design of Technology-Integrated Learning in Context

by Lauren Jayne Knussen
BA (Hons), MA, MRes

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

under the supervision of
Professor Lori Lockyer and
Associate Professor Shirley Agostinho

University of Technology Sydney
Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences

June 2020

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I, Lauren Jayne Knussen, declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the School of International Studies and Education, Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences, at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature:

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 30 June 2020

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This PhD journey has not been easy. It has had its eventful patches, with several broken bones and trips to hospital along the way. But it has also been a refuge and a place to sit quietly and think about the nature of the world. That has been an absolute privilege. Yet I could not have arrived at this point without the support of some wonderful people along the way.

I would first like to acknowledge the teachers and schools who participated in this study for their endurance, for welcoming me into their work environment and sharing their experiences with me so honestly.

To Lori Lockyer and Shirley Agostinho: your supervision, guidance and friendship over the years has been invaluable. I am so grateful to you both; I consider myself incredibly fortunate to have benefited from your knowledge and humour along the way! I also would like to thank Matthew Kearney for his support and feedback, and for allowing me to drop into his office for chats about the thesis and the academic field more broadly.

To my husband, Steve, thank you. You have wholeheartedly supported me in this crazy endeavour and have made sure that our family continued to function relatively normally around my work. To Callum and Freya, who have grown up with your mum working on her thesis, thank you for understanding when I had to shut myself away and work at home. I love you all so much.

To my wonderful friends: Michelle, Kylie, Joanne, Sharon, Sarah, Toni, Lyndal and Vicki, who are my Australian family. I thank you so much for your love and support over these past few years of study. You have helped me with looking after the kids and ferrying them around when I needed you. But most of all, you have been my tribe, providing endless laughs, love, stories and wine!

This is for my mum, Carol, who didn't have the opportunities that I have had. You and Dad Vic have always supported me through my educational pursuits and have done so much to help me to get to this point, even though you aren't with us in Australia. I thank you both from the bottom of my heart for everything you have done for me over the years.

Statement on format of thesis

This thesis is presented in the style of a conventional thesis.

Table of Contents

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	II
STATEMENT ON FORMAT OF THESIS	III
LIST OF FIGURES	VIII
LIST OF TABLES	IX
ABSTRACT	X
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 BACKGROUND	2
1.3 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY	5
1.4 RESEARCH QUESTIONS	6
1.5 RESEARCH STRATEGY	7
1.6 LIMITATIONS OF STUDY	8
1.7 DEFINITION OF TERMS	9
1.8 STRUCTURE OF THESIS	10
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1 INTRODUCTION	11
2.2 INFLUENCES ON TEACHERS' PRACTICES WITH TECHNOLOGY-INTEGRATED LEARNING	12
2.2.1 <i>Internal contextual factors</i>	14
2.2.2 <i>External contextual factors</i>	19
2.3 EARLY CAREER TEACHERS	23
2.3.1 <i>Early career teachers in the school context</i>	23
2.3.2 <i>Early career teachers' capacity to design TIL</i>	24
2.3.3 <i>Contextual influences on ECTs' practices with TIL</i>	26
2.4 TEACHERS AS DESIGNERS OF TIL PROGRAMS	28
2.5 LITERATURE FROM 2017 TO 2020	31
2.6 SUMMARY	32
CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY	34
3.1 AIMS AND RESEARCH QUESTIONS	34
3.2 PHILOSOPHICAL APPROACH	35
3.3 RESEARCH DESIGN	35
3.3.1 <i>Case study</i>	35
3.3.2 <i>Activity Theory</i>	37
3.3.3 <i>Key features of research design</i>	41
3.3.4 <i>The researcher</i>	42
3.3.5 <i>Ethics, consent and confidentiality</i>	42
3.3.6 <i>Recruitment process</i>	43
3.3.7 <i>Participants</i>	44
3.4 DATA COLLECTION	46
3.4.1 <i>Data collection procedure</i>	47
3.4.2 <i>Data collection instruments</i>	50
3.5 DATA ANALYSIS	56
3.5.1 <i>Data management</i>	57
3.5.2 <i>Preliminary analysis</i>	57
3.5.3 <i>Secondary analysis</i>	58
3.5.4 <i>Representation of data</i>	62
3.6 QUALITY OF THE STUDY	63
3.6.1 <i>Appropriateness of research design</i>	63

3.6.2 Rigour in research process.....	63
3.6.3 Usefulness of research outcomes	64
CHAPTER 4: PRESENTATION OF CASES	65
4.1 INTRODUCTION	65
4.2 KIARA'S SCIENCE PROGRAM – 'EXPLORATION OF EARTH AND SPACE'	67
4.2.1 About Kiara.....	67
4.2.2 Kiara's knowledge and experience of technology-integrated learning	67
4.2.3 Kiara's school.....	69
4.2.4 Kiara's class	70
4.2.5 How Kiara designed her science program	71
4.2.6 Summary of Kiara's science program	77
4.2.7 Teaching the science program.....	79
4.2.8 Kiara's reflections on the program	83
4.2.9 Summary.....	84
4.2.10 Conclusion.....	89
4.3 JENNY'S HISTORY PROGRAM – 'AUSTRALIA AS A NATION'	91
4.3.1 About Jenny	91
4.3.2 Jenny's knowledge and experience of technology-integrated learning.....	91
4.3.3 Jenny's school	92
4.3.4 Jenny's class.....	94
4.3.5 How Jenny designed her history program	95
4.3.6 Summary of Jenny's history program	100
4.3.7 Teaching of the history program	103
4.3.8 Jenny's reflection on the program	106
4.3.9 Summary.....	108
4.3.10 Conclusion.....	112
4.4 ELENA'S HISTORY PROGRAM – 'AUSTRALIA AS A NATION'	113
4.4.1 About	113
4.4.2 Elena's knowledge and experience of technology-integrated learning	113
4.4.3 Elena's school	114
4.4.4 Elena's class.....	116
4.4.5 How Elena designed her history program.....	118
4.4.6 Summary of Elena's history program	123
4.4.7 Teaching the history program	126
4.4.8 Elena's reflection on the program	128
4.4.9 Summary.....	132
4.4.10 Conclusion.....	136
4.5 CATHERINE'S ENGLISH PROGRAM – 'POETRY LIGHTS UP OUR WORLD'	137
4.5.1 About Catherine.....	137
4.5.2 Catherine's knowledge and experience of technology-integrated learning	137
4.5.3 Catherine's school.....	139
4.5.4 Catherine's class	141
4.5.5 How Catherine designed her English program	142
4.5.6 Summary of Catherine's English program	152
4.5.7 Teaching the English program.....	156
4.5.8 Catherine's reflection on the program.....	159
4.5.9 Summary.....	161
4.5.10 Conclusion.....	164
4.6 SIMON'S HISTORY PROGRAM – 'THE AUSTRALIAN COLONIES'	165
4.6.1 About Simon	165
4.6.2 Simon's knowledge and experience of technology-integrated learning	165
4.6.3 Simon's school	166
4.6.4 Simon's class.....	167
4.6.5 How Simon designed his history program	169
4.6.6 Summary of Simon's history program	176

4.6.7 Teaching the history program	179
4.6.8 Simon's reflection on the program	181
4.6.9 Summary.....	184
4.6.10 Conclusion.....	188
4.7 EMMA'S MATHEMATICS PROGRAM – '3D SHAPES'	189
4.7.1 About Emma	189
4.7.2 Emma's knowledge and experience of technology-integrated learning.....	189
4.7.3 Emma's school.....	191
4.7.4 Emma's class.....	194
4.7.5 How Emma designed her mathematics program	198
4.7.6 Summary of Emma's mathematics program	206
4.7.7 Teaching the mathematics program	209
4.7.8 Emma's reflection on the program	211
4.7.9 Summary.....	213
4.7.10 Conclusion.....	216
4.8 SHELLY'S SCIENCE PROGRAM – 'HOLLYWOOD BLOCKBUSTER'	217
4.8.1 About Shelly.....	217
4.8.2 Shelly's knowledge and experience of technology-integrated learning.....	217
4.8.3 Shelly's school.....	219
4.8.4 Shelly's class	221
4.8.5 How Shelly designed her science program.....	223
4.8.6 Summary of Shelly's science program	233
4.8.7 Teaching the science program.....	236
4.8.8 Shelly's reflection on the program	240
4.8.9 Summary.....	242
4.8.10 Conclusion.....	245
CHAPTER 5: CROSS-CASE ANALYSIS.....	247
5.1 INTRODUCTION	247
5.2 HOW ECTS ENGAGE IN THE DESIGN OF TIL PROGRAMS	247
5.3 TECHNOLOGY-INTEGRATED LEARNING IN THE PROGRAMS DESIGNED FOR THE STUDY.....	250
5.3.1 Technology devices.....	251
5.3.2 Evaluation of TIL programs in this study.....	251
5.4 INFLUENCES ON THE DESIGN PROCESS.....	254
5.4.1 The influence of early career teacher's background.....	254
5.4.2 The influence of the school context	258
5.5 THEORETICAL INTERPRETATION OF FINDINGS ON INFLUENCES.....	262
5.5 CONCLUSION.....	262
CHAPTER 6: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	265
6.1 INTRODUCTION	265
6.2 RESEARCH QUESTION 1: HOW DO EARLY CAREER TEACHERS APPROACH THE DESIGN OF TIL?	266
6.2.1 Approach 1: re-designing an existing program.....	267
6.2.2 Approach 2: designing a new program.....	269
6.2.3 TIL design in the two approaches	271
6.2.4 Why two different approaches?	271
6.3 RESEARCH QUESTION 2: WHAT ARE THE KEY INFLUENCES ON THE PRACTICES OF EARLY CAREER TEACHERS DESIGNING TIL?	273
6.3.1 The influence of ECTS' knowledge and skills	273
6.3.2 Access to technology in schools.....	277
6.3.3 School leadership and culture with TIL	278
6.3.4 School's accepted design practice	281
6.3.5 Influence of students	282
6.3.6 Influence of syllabus/KLA.....	283
6.3.7 The interaction of influences	283
6.3.8 Summary.....	286

6.4 CONTRIBUTIONS TO PRACTICE.....	287
6.4.1 Importance of ECTS' approach to designing TIL.....	287
6.4.2 School culture for TIL	287
6.4.3 Explicit instruction in TIL in initial teacher education	289
6.5 CONTRIBUTIONS TO RESEARCH AND THEORY.....	290
6.6 LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY.....	292
6.7 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH	293
6.8 CONCLUSION	295
REFERENCES.....	297
APPENDICES.....	306

List of Figures

FIGURE 1: TECHNOLOGICAL PEDAGOGICAL CONTENT KNOWLEDGE MODEL (MISHRA & KOEHLER, 2006)	17
FIGURE 2: ENGESTRÖM'S ACTIVITY THEORY MODEL (ENGESTRÖM, 2001)	38
FIGURE 3: CONCEPTUALISATION OF ACTIVITY THEORY MODEL FOR THIS STUDY (BASED ON ENGESTRÖM, 2001)	40
FIGURE 4: SPIRAL DIAGRAM OF ANALYSIS PROCESS (CRESWELL, 2013)	56
FIGURE 5: SAMR MODEL (PUENTEDURA, 2014)	62
FIGURE 6: EXCERPT FROM KIARA'S PROGRAM DOCUMENTATION AT THE END OF THE DESIGN DAY	74
FIGURE 7: EXCERPT FROM KIARA'S PROGRAM DOCUMENTATION AT THE END OF THE DESIGN DAY	74
FIGURE 8: IMAGES OF THE SPACE DISPLAY IN KIARA'S CLASSROOM	81
FIGURE 9: EXCERPT FROM JENNY'S PROGRAM DOCUMENT	98
FIGURE 10: IMAGES FROM THE COMPUTER LABORATORY AT JENNY'S SCHOOL	105
FIGURE 11: EXCERPT FROM ELENA'S PROGRAM DOCUMENT	121
FIGURE 12: EXCERPT FROM CATHERINE'S PROGRAM	148
FIGURE 13: EXAMPLES SLIDE FROM CATHERINE'S PROGRAM	155
FIGURE 14: EXCERPT FROM SIMON'S PROGRAM DOCUMENT ON DESIGN DAY	172
FIGURE 15: STUDENT RULES FOR USING IPADS AT EMMA'S SCHOOL	192
FIGURE 16: EXCERPT OF MATRIX FROM EMMA'S PROGRAM DOCUMENT	201
FIGURE 17: EMMA'S PROGRAM OVERVIEW FROM THE DESIGN DAY	203
FIGURE 18: EXCERPT FROM SHELLY'S PROGRAM DOCUMENT ON DESIGN DAY (1)	231
FIGURE 19: EXCERPT FROM SHELLY'S PROGRAM ON DESIGN DAY (2)	231
FIGURE 20: SHELLY'S OVERARCHING ASSESSMENT TASK FROM PROGRAM WEBSITE	235
FIGURE 21: STUDENTS' 'NEED TO KNOW' WORK (PROGRAM WEBSITE)	235

List of Tables

TABLE 1: CRITERIA FOR PARTICIPATION IN STUDY	45
TABLE 2: OVERVIEW OF RESEARCH PARTICIPANTS	45
TABLE 3: OVERVIEW OF DATA COLLECTION PHASES	47
TABLE 4: OVERVIEW OF DATA COLLECTION TIMEFRAME	50
TABLE 5: DATA COLLECTION TOOLS' ALIGNMENT WITH RESEARCH QUESTIONS AND ACTIVITY THEORY	51
TABLE 6: RATIONALE FOR SAMR LEVEL ATTRIBUTION BY CASE	66
TABLE 7: DETAIL OF KIARA'S DESIGN PROCESS STAGES	77
TABLE 8: BREAKDOWN OF TIL ACTIVITIES IN KIARA'S PROGRAM	79
TABLE 9: COMPONENTS OF KIARA'S ACTIVITY SYSTEM	85
TABLE 10: JENNY'S DETAILED DESIGN PROCESS	100
TABLE 11: BREAKDOWN OF TIL ACTIVITIES IN JENNY'S PROGRAM	103
TABLE 12: COMPONENTS OF JENNY'S ACTIVITY SYSTEM	108
TABLE 13: EXCERPT FROM ELENA'S PROGRAM DOCUMENT	123
TABLE 14: TIL IN ELENA'S PROGRAM	126
TABLE 15: COMPONENTS OF ELENA'S ACTIVITY SYSTEM	132
TABLE 16: CATHERINE'S DESIGN PROCESS IN DETAIL	152
TABLE 17: BREAKDOWN OF TIL ACTIVITIES IN CATHERINE'S PROGRAM	155
TABLE 18: COMPONENTS OF CATHERINE'S ACTIVITY SYSTEM	162
TABLE 19: SIMON'S DETAILED DESIGN PROCESS	175
TABLE 20: BREAKDOWN OF TIL ACTIVITIES IN SIMON'S PROGRAM	178
TABLE 21: COMPONENTS OF SIMON'S ACTIVITY SYSTEM	185
TABLE 22: EMMA'S DESIGN PROCESS	206
TABLE 23: BREAKDOWN OF TIL ACTIVITIES IN EMMA'S PROGRAM	208
TABLE 24: COMPONENTS OF EMMA'S ACTIVITY SYSTEM	214
TABLE 25: SHELLY'S DESIGN PROCESS	233
TABLE 26: COMPONENTS OF SHELLY'S ACTIVITY SYSTEM	243
TABLE 27: PARTICIPANTS' PROCESSES OF DESIGNING TIL	248
TABLE 28: EVALUATION OF TIL ACROSS CASES INTERPRETED THROUGH SAMR	253
TABLE 29: KEY CONTEXTUAL INFLUENCES ON DESIGN THROUGH ACTIVITY THEORY	262

Abstract

The importance of preparing school students for a digitally-mediated future is widely acknowledged. Equally accepted is the role technology can play in supporting and enhancing contemporary teaching. The responsibility of designing and implementing technology-integrated learning (TIL) lies with schools, and by extension, with teachers. It is often assumed that early career teachers are well equipped to design TIL. However, the limited research on early career teachers (ECTs)' knowledge and skills in technology-integrated learning (TIL) indicates that ECTs struggle to design TIL which align with contemporary pedagogies. There is a need to better understand how recently qualified teachers are integrating technologies into their teaching practice. In particular, there is a need for more evidence-based knowledge about how early career teachers' individual and workplace contexts influence their thinking and practice as they design TIL programs.

The study investigated how ECTs engaged in the design of TIL in situ and the contextual factors which influenced them as they pursued their design work. Focusing ECTs' design work is a novel approach to investigating their practices and thinking about TIL as past research has relied extensively on reports of experience.

A multiple case study approach was used to provide rich description of seven early career teachers' practices of designing a TIL program for their students. The study was underpinned by activity theory, a framework that facilitated the analysis of internal and external contextual influences on each ECT's design process and thinking. Data was collected using interviews, researcher observations, think aloud protocols and program documents to provide a rich description of each early career teacher's process of designing a TIL program and the context within which they work. Data was analysed both inductively and deductively, guided by the activity theory framework.

The study found evidence of two different approaches to designing technology-integrated learning. The first was a redesign of an existing program. The second was the design of a new program. The redesign approach was found to result in limited creativity and innovation of TIL, whereas the design of a new program resulted in more creative and innovative TIL in the programs. Analysis of the participants' contexts revealed that they were also influenced by a range of factors in their design environment. Influencing factors were their experiences in initial teacher education,

their skills and knowledge of TIL, school leadership and culture relating to TIL and access to technology in the school.

This study has shed new light on the contextual complexity of early career primary teachers' design work with TIL and the factors which influence this practice. Furthermore, the study provides insights into how these contextual factors interacted to support or limit ECTs in their design of TIL. The study identifies the value of fostering a positive culture of implementing TIL in schools, within which early career teachers are supported in their design of TIL programs. It also provides evidence that studying the complexities of digital pedagogies during initial teacher education can increase ECTs' capacity to innovate with technology when designing learning programs. Finally, the study proposes that future research focuses on exploring the social and operational activity systems in schools which influence technology integration. The potential development of learning design support systems for early career teachers designing TIL is also identified as a path for future research in this field.